Monitoring Restoration & Management Progress



Jack McGowan-Stinski Program Manager http://lakestatesfiresci.net/index.html mcgowan-stinski.1@osu.edu



WHAT IS COARSE-LEVEL MONITORING / METRICS?

- focuses on key ecological attributes, or metrics, that are biologically important for plant and animal species and that can be influenced by management
- relatively quick and inexpensive means to track the progress of restoration &/or maintenance (in prairie fens, oak & pine barrens/ savanna/ woodland/ forest, & adapted for other natural communities)
- requires basic understanding of systems, but not extensive botanical expertise
- designed so land managers evaluate success & determine next restoration/management step(s) needed
- Tested assumption that coarse-level progress reflects finescale conditions

MONITORING (& RESEARCH)...NATIVE SPECIES

- floristic quality assessment/ vegetation transects/ nectar sources
- oak seedling germination
- native prairie plant seeding versus plant plugs
- Iupine enclosure and deer exclosure
- Karner blue butterfly (KBB) meander surveys & Occupied v. unoccupied KBB habitat
- Great plains spittlebug surveys
- Eastern Box turtle genetics study (one M.S. project)
- Eastern Massasauga habitat requirements, prey selection, & live fire (three M.S. projects)
- Mitchell's satyr habitat requirements (one PhD project)
- breeding bird nesting behavior/ shrub use (one M.S. project)

MONITORING – NON-NATIVE AND COMPETITIVE SPECIES

- comparison herbaceous herbicide treatments
- drill & fill for woody species
- knapweed density on fuel loading/ fire behavior; and change in soil chemistry (research)
- mechanical removal of invasive's (hand/ chainsaw/ large equipment)
- Pennsylvania sedge burn herbicide plots
- "spot-burning and swath burning" with propane torches – season, heat/area/time

FIRE

- Photomonitoring, and developing photoload guide(s)
 Brown's, mortality, severity...
- Fire behavior

Impediments or Opportunities... "Why are We Burning????"

- fire effects on rare species (T&E, SC), invasive species, vegetative diversity?
- fire frequency, intensity & severity?
- ecological resilience restoration-phase to maintenance-phase?
- other treatments (mechanical/herbicide)?
- <u>What are the burn</u> window(s) – seasonality?



"Well, thank God we all made it out in time.... 'Course, now we're equally screwed." Impediments or Opportunities... "Why are We Burning????"

- fire effects on rare species (T&E, SC), invasive species, vegetative diversity?
- fire frequency, intensity & severity?
- ecological resilience restoration-phase to maintenance-phase?
- other treatments (mechanical/herbicide)?
- <u>What are the burn</u> window(s) – seasonality?



development of coarse-level metrics

"Goal is not the flames, but what the flames do...

... achieving desired fire effects²²









Dormant Season Fire Effects – Native Plants

	April-May	June-Aug	Sept	Oct-Nov
Grasses and sedges				
Warm season				₫
Cool season	┛			↓ ?
Forbs				
Early-flowering forbs	₽			↓ ?
Mid-flowering forbs	I			1 ?
Late-flowering forbs				1 ?
Legumes (Fabaceae)	ſ			
Population Inc	rease 🚹	Decrease 📕	~Same 🗲	⇒

Note: it is better to use yearly Phenology, but illustrated above in general terms with calendar dates in N. Midwest

Dormant Season Fire Effects < non-native or competitive

	March-April	May	June-Aug	Sept	Oct-Nov
knapweed	1				
sweet clovers	1				
garlic mustard					
St. Johnswort	1				
bouncing bet	1				1
buckthorn	1				
autumn olive					\overleftrightarrow
honeysuckles	1				1
Pennsylvania	1				1
sedge					
Pop Note: it is better to use	oulation Increase	Decreas	•	Same <	⇒ n N. Midwest



PHENOLOGY & PHYSIOLOGY <u>Woody – Coniferous & Deciduous</u> <u>Herbaceous – Annuals & Perennials</u>





SEASONALITY: BURN WINDOWS BY NATURAL COMMUNITY*



*in the N. Midwest

GROWING SEASON: EARLY-MID-LATE



Apr

May

June



July

Aug

Sep

Seasonal Fire Effects – native plants

	April-May	June-Aug	Sept	Oct-Nov
Grasses and sedges				
Warm season		₽	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	ſ
Cool season	➡		ſ	↓ ?
Forbs				
Early-flowering forbs	₽	ſ	ſ	₽?
Mid-flowering forbs	₽	Î	ſ	1 ?
Late-flowering forbs	1	₽	1	1 ?
Legumes (Fabaceae)	ſ		ſ	
Population Inc	rease Î	Decrease	~Same 🧲	⇒

Note: it is better to use yearly Phenology, but illustrated above in general terms with calendar dates for N. Midwest

Seasonal Fire Effects – non-native plants

	March-April	May	June-Aug	Sept	Oct-Nov		
knapweed	1	\overleftrightarrow	ļ	ļ	1		
sweet clovers	1						
garlic mustard			Ļ				
St. Johnswort		\overleftrightarrow			1		
bouncing bet	1	1			1		
buckthorn	1	\longleftrightarrow	I	Ļ	1		
autumn olive		Ţ			\overleftrightarrow		
honeysuckles	1	Ţ	ļ		1		
Population Increase T Decrease ~Same							

Note: it is better to use yearly Phenology, but illustrated above in general terms with calendar dates for N. Midwest

Seasonal Fire Effects – competitive plants

	March-April	May	June- Aug	Sept	Oct- Nov
bracken fern	1	\iff	I		1
red maple	1	Ļ	Ļ	1	1
Pennsylvania sedge	1		Ţ	\overleftrightarrow	1









GUIDELINES FOR FIELD ESTIMATES

- 1. Conduct evaluations during full leaf out conditions for canopy species
- 2. Ensure visual access to areas that are representative of all portions of the unit and average composite score
- 3. Conduct evaluation when high priority native, or invasive species, are most visible
- 4. Evaluate each metric independently, i.e., percent cover of herbaceous species should include plants that occur underneath shrubs - <u>Total percent cover of the herbaceous and shrub</u> <u>metrics can/ and will exceed 100%</u>
- 5. Weighted value used for <u>each metric</u> for the proportional area of each management unit/burn unit stand/area
- 6. Gestalt: overall rating for entire area

MEANDER ROUTES EXAMPLES IN ASSESSMENT AREAS



COARSE-LEVEL METRICS FOR PRAIRIE FENS

Meander survey/ walk through each unit & <u>visual assessment</u> of percent cover of:

- herbaceous species native versus non-native / invasive
- shrubs
- flammability (% unit with fuel that can be broadcast burned)

Key Attribute	Indicator	Bold = Current Indicator Ratings			Italics = Desired	
Rey Auribule	indicator	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	
Community architecture	% of managed fen soils supporting low, herbaceous communities	<10%	10% - <60%	60% - <80%	80% - 100%	
Community architecture	percent cover of native species in the managed fen	<25%	25% - <60%	60% - 90%	>90% - 100%	
Fire regime	percent of managed fen that will carry a prescribed fire	<10%	10% - <60%	60% - <80%	80% - 100%	

1995 pre-restoration

Eradication of buckthorn, other shrubs, loosestrife, reed canary grass, phragmites, swallowwort, thistles



site was rated by snake experts (multiple Universities) as **"non**viable massasauga habitat" in 1995

2010 post-restoration





Coarse Metrics – Restoration Effectiveness





- implementing fire with a rare snake -

this is not a "BURN-NO BURN" restoration option, so what questions need to be asked to minimize individual loss?



- direct versus indirect fire injury/mortality?
- what are all the other mortality factors?
- how fast and how far can a massasauga move to get to refugia?
- what are the cues to escape – visual, audio, smoke, thermal?
- effects on prey species?





- implementing fire with a rare snake -

this is not a "BURN-NO BURN" restoration option, so what questions need to be asked to minimize individual loss?



- direct versus indirect fire injury/mortality?
- what are all the other mortality factors?
- how fast and how far can a massasauga move to get to refugia?
- what are the cues to escape – visual, audio, smoke, thermal?
- effects on prey species?





- average massasauga escape speed: 0.234 m/s (46 ft/min)
- future fire implementation keep maximum rate of spread no faster than 16 ch/hr (17.6 ft/min) with a targeted rate of 10 ch/hr or less (11 ft/min)

results

- all prey species increased shortly after each burn and any season of burn
 - prey base consists of mammals (largest amount of adult snake diet) and herps (neonates/young snake diet)
- two massasauga's (2 males) direct mortality during early growing season burn, internal strip-firing for smoke management (WUI) – almost shutdown us down by USFWS-State permits for Rx with EMR
 - 23 snakes (13 unmarked/unknown) were found in same burn area two weeks post-burn
 - 69 snakes marked in first year of study 2005 (<u>site was rated non-viable habitat in 1995!</u>) the monitoring and research saved fire program!
- raptors main predators of adult massasauga

COARSE-LEVEL METRICS - OAK AND/OR PINE SAVANNA/BARRENS

Metrics are evaluated independently, there are multiple structural layers, and total cover of any two metrics can exceed 100 %

- canopy closure and complexity of canopy structure and percent of canopy composed of oak or pine species
- sub-canopy oak/pine and oak/pine recruitment
- shrub cover

 ground cover of native herbaceous plant species (grass, sedge, forbs) compared to non-native invasive and competitive plant species

2019 UPGRADES

Coarse-level monitoring protocol for assessing baseline condition and restoration progress in oak and pine barrens - version 2.2. PUB NH 746 2019. Wisconsin DNR. Madison, WI

Ryan O'Connor¹, Amy Staffen¹, and Jack McGowan-Stinski²

¹ Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

² Lakes States Fire Science Consortium

http://lakestatesfiresci.net/Fire&FuelsMonitoringWorkshop2021/W DNR%20Barrens%20coarselevel%20monitoring%20SOP%20v2.2.pdf

2019 UPGRADES

Wisconsin DNR Barrens	
Monitoring Form	

http://lakestatesfiresci.net/Fire &FuelsMonitoringWorkshop20 21/WDNR%20Barrens%20CLM Monitoring%20Form_v2.2.pdf

	Wisconsin DNR Barrens Mo	onitoring Form	Version 2.2
Site Name:	Management Unit Name/#	AA Name/#	Date
AA Description			AA acres
GPS coords start	GPS coords and	Summore	

Instructions: For each metric, write the corresponding measurement for your assessment area in "Your Obs" column, then enter a letter rank for that metric in the "Letter Rank" column following the ranking guidance. Convert the letter rank into a numerical score using a grade -point-average style conversion (A=4, A==3.5, B=3, C=2, C==1.5, D=1), and enter this number in the "Score" column.

		-						Weighted
IETRIC	(Excellent)	B (Good)	C (Fair)	D (Poor)	YOUR	LETTER	SCORE	Avg for fin
otal % cover of native grasses and sedges, not includin ennsylvania sedge		15-29%	5-14%	0-4%	065	KANK	(1-4)	Multiply
umber of native indicator species ee checklist with photographs)	15+	11-14	8-10	0-7				subtotal Barrens comp b
otal % cover of native disturbance indicators .g., Pennsylvania sedge, bracken fern, blackberry/dewberry,	etc.) 0-20%	21-40%	41-60%	61%+				0.6
Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of th	e 3 metrics are D	overall Bo	arrens comp	D	NA			
otal % cover of invasive species is defined under Wisconsin NR 40)	<1%	1-3%	C: 4-10% C-:11-30%	31%+				Multiply
elative % cover of all native plants atio of all natives to non-natives, including trees and shrubs)	A: >99% A- 95-99%	85-94%	60-84%	0-59%				Subtotal of General comp by
elative % cover of appropriate oak barrens trees atio of oak & regionally jack/red pine to other tree species)	96-100%	90-95%	80-89%	0-79%				0.15
Subtotal of General Comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of th	e 3 metrics are D	, overall G	eneral comp	D	NA			
otal % cover of all medium-statured woody plants -6' tall; includes natives and non-natives)	0-15%	16-30%	31-50%	51%+				Multiph
otal % cover of saplings and tall shrubs (6-20' tall)	5-15%	<5 or 16-30%	31-50%	51%+				subtotal Structure by 0.2
otal % cover of trees (>20' toll)	5-40%	<5 or 41-60%	61-75%	76%+				↓ ↓
Subtotal of structure: Avg of scores above; if tree con	np = D, overall s	structure	= D		NA			
0	- Fre 1	0	12	2	Spotial hetero:			Multiply Het by 0.0
at includes canopy and but canopy and/or openings with mo enings of varying shapes clustered in portions of the gaps, as	stly small canopy well as occasional	with or gaps or	ly small canop few large ope	Y		posite let	ter rank:	3.8-4
		with ha	ird edges				A-	15-1
and management comments (for specific metrics or for	r entire unit):							2.5-2
							c	20-2
	umber of native indicator species ec checkist with photographs) otal % cover of native disturbance indicators gPennsylvania sedge, bracken fern, blockberry/dewberry, Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of th tal % cover of invasive species s defined under Wisconsin NR 40) elative % cover of all native plants and of all natives to non-natives, including trees and shrubs) elative % cover of alpropriate oak barrens trees stoti of oak & regionally jack/red pine to other tree species) Subtotal of General Comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of th otal % cover of all medium-statured woody plants of talt; includes notives and non-natives) otal % cover of saplings and tall shrubs (6-20' tall) otal % cover of trees (>20' tall) Subtotal of structure: Avg of scores above; if tree con Complen natural mosaic it includes scorey and scares and yring shapes s dustered in portion of the states on the scorey and/or openings unit	umber of native indicator species 15+ umber of native indicator species 15+ ust % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores obove; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D 15+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores obove; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D 15+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores obove; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D 15+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores obove; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D 15+ schind under Wisconsin NR 40) <1%	umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 otal % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% 21-40% Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Bit 0-20% 21-40% Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Bit 1-3% 1-3% bative % cover of alm axive species <1%	umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 8-10 btal % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% 21-40% 41-60% g, Pennsylvanio sedge, brocken fern, blockberry/dewberry, etc.) 0-20% 21-40% 41-60% Subtotal of Barrens comp: Awg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comp atal % cover of invasive species c1% 1-3% C + 10% s defined under Wisconsin NR 40) c1% 1-3% C + 10% C: +10% batto of all notives to non-notives; including trees and shrubs) A: >99% 85-94% 60-84% batto of all notives to non-notives; including trees and shrubs) A: >99% 80-89% 80-89% subtotal of General Comp: Awg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall General comp atter of all medium-statured woody plants 0-15% 16-30% 31-50% of tall % cover of saplings and tall shrubs (6-20' tall) 5-15% 16-30% 31-50% 31-50% otal % cover of trees (>20' tall) 5-40% 41-60% 61-75% Subtotal of structure: Avg of scores above; if tree comp = D, overall Structure = D Complex natural mosaik in indudes canopy and/or openings dwings dwings there openings 0.50% with mostly small canopy and with undit smeg open with hare opening 0.16000000000000000000000000000	umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 8-10 0-7 otal % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 615%+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Awg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comp = D 0-15% 1-3% C: 4-10% 51%+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Awg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comp = D <15%	umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 8-10 0-7 stal % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61%+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comg = D NA Stative Scover of invasive species <15%	umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 8-10 0-7 stal % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61%+ Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comp = D NA Subtotal of Barrens comp: Avg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comp = D NA stal % cover of invasive species <13%	umber of native indicator species 15+ 11-14 8-10 0-7 Image: Complex natural mosaic indicators otal % cover of native disturbance indicators 0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61%+ Image: Complex natural mosaic indicators Subtotal of Barrens comp: Awg of scores above; if 2 of the 3 metrics are D, overall Barrens comp = D NA Image: Complex natural mosaic indicators NA s defined under Wisconsin NR 40) <1%

35%

65%

75%

85%

Guide to Percent Cover: 5%

D <1.49

95%

Field tests of Coarse-level metrics for oak barrens, jack pine barrens, dry sand prairie

			unit	person	*cost/hr	acres per	cost per	
site	County	Metrics used		hours	salary	. î	acre	summary
Manni's tract**	Newaygo	dry sand prairie	30	9	206.73	3.33	6.89	range in acres = 10 to 310
Durkee Hunt Club - East Moffett Dam Unit	Montmorency	jack pine	182	12	275.64	15.17	1.51	range in acres/hour = 1.67 to 15.17
Black River Ranch - Stewart Creek Unit and Fairchild South Unit	Montmorency	jack pine	310	21	482.37	14.76	1.56	range in cost/acre = \$1.51 to \$13.78
Deur's tract	Newaygo	oak barrens	30	9	206.73	3.33	6.89	average acres/hour = 9.52
Coolbough - 58th St. Unit** and Hazelwood Unit	Newaygo	oak barrens	10	6	137.82	1.67	13.78	average cost/acre = \$2.41
Hayes Road KBB**	Newaygo	dry sand prairie	17	3	68.91	5.67	4.05	
Big Prairie Cemetery	Newaygo	dry sand prairie	35		103.37			
7 sites, 9 units			614	64.5	1481.57	9.52	2.41	

*\$22.97/hr average cost from 6 different individuals (two FTE's, four - 6 month "seasonals" [3 of the 4 seasonals get full benefits, and not all paid same/hour salary])

**occupied KBB sites

note: some permanent photopoints established/re-located during the time estimates, times not excluded from coarse-level metric tests



Questions?

Lake States Fire Science Consortium

A JFSP KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE CONSORTIUM